

```
Non-authoritative answer:
Name: wc09.mtnk.rnc.net.cable.rogers.com
Address: 66.185.84.204
```

```
/pipermail/fs_announce/2003/001248.html
"http://www.freedomsite.org/pipermail/fs_announce/2003/001248.html"
Mozilla/5.0; Windows 95; DigE...
```

```
66.185.84.204 - - [15/Oct/2003:20:46:13 -0400] "GET
```

```
/pipermail/fs_announce/2003/001248.html" HTTP/1.1" 200 18740
```

```
"http://www.freedomsite.org/pipermail/fs_announce/2003/001248.html"
Mozilla/5.0; Windows 98)"
```

```
pcnet-dsl-117-113.gen.pacncccoast.net - - [16/Oct/2003:12:42:24 -0400] "GET
```

```
/pipermail/fs_announce/2003/001248.html HTTP/1.1" 200 18740
```

```
"http://www.freedomsite.org/pipermail/fs_announce/2003/001247.html"
Mozilla/5.0; Windows 98)"
```

FREEDOMSITE.ORG  
WEB ACCESS LOGS

http://www.freedomsite.org/pipermail/fs\_announce/2003/001248.html

15/10/03

RICHARD WARMAN'S  
PRINTOUTS, SUBMITTED  
TO TRIBUNAL  
(FOOTER SHOWING DATE)

Nov 23, 2003 Richard Warman visits the Freedomsite Message Board. Uses IP Address: 66.185.84.204

FROM TIMELINE IN  
SWORN AFFIDAVIT  
BY EXPERT WITNESS

## Understanding the Evidence

- A** According to DNS (Domain Name Server) records, the hostname in the freedomsite.org logs, correspond with the IP address **66.185.84.204**
- B** The footer of website printouts from freedomsite.org, submitted by Richard Warman to the Human Rights Tribunal, show the same URL as the HTTP request records for the request from **66.185.84.204**
- C** The date of the document, corresponds with the date the IP address accessed the document.
- D** According to the sworn affidavit, Freedomsite.org's logs also show the IP address **66.185.84.204** visited the site on November 23, 2003: more than a month after he is alledged to have used the IP to print out evidence for the tribunal.

**D** is particularly important, because the Anne Cools post was posted on Setember 13th, 2003. The entire defense against the Anne Cools post being written by Warman, is the reasonable possibility that Warman's IP address was changed by Rogers in this period, and that another person in Warman's neighbourhood, just happened to be visiting the same sites as him. If D is true, then it demonstrates that Warman had the IP address for at least more than four weeks. Detractors of the theory have relied on the defense that this is not possible.